

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/541,631	04/03/2000	Alan Balkany		4315	
25215 75	590 03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
DOBRUSIN & THENNISCH PC			TO, BAOQUOC N		
29 W LAWRENCE ST SUITE 210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PONTIAC, MI 48326			2162		
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/541,631	BALKANY, ALAN					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Baoquoc N. To	2162					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	1 '		dress				
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. 136(a). In no event, however, may a rep will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT te, cause the application to become ABA	ATION.  bly be timely filed  HS from the mailing date of this co.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•				
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02/1	17/2006						
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		rs, prosecution as to the	merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>33-52</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 33-52 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PT	O-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documen							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	eceived in this National S	Stage				
application from the International Burea	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not re	eceived.					
Markey W.							
Attachment(s)	۵۰	(DTO 440)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Ll Interview Su Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ormal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 33-52 are newly added in the amendment filed on 02/17/2006. Claims 33-52 are pending in this application.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 38-52 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

### MPEP 2106 IV. B.2. (b)

A claim that requires one or more acts to be performed defines a process. However, not all processes are statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101. Schrader, 22 F.3d at 296, 30 USPQ2d at 1460. To be statutory, a claimed computer-related process must either: (A) result in a physical transformation outside the computer for which a practical application in the technological arts is either disclosed in the specification or would have been known to a skilled artisan, or (B) be limited to a practical application within the technological arts.

3. Regarding claims 33-52 in view of the above cited MPEP section, are not statutory because they merely recite a number of computing steps without producing

any tangible result and/or being limited to a practical application within the technological arts. All the recited steps of the method in claims 33, 39 and 43 do not produce concrete and assured repeatable result. Their dependents are rejected under the same reason as to their independent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 38-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 33 recites "one or more interior nodes are <u>capable of</u> storing one or more sequence of mutually-consecutive tuples by representing said sequence in a form that uses less storage space then representing said sequence as individual tuples and one or more interior nodes are <u>capable of</u>..." in line 9 and 12 render indefinite because the recited step does not need to be performed.

Claims 33 also recited "(d) defining one or more operators that transform one state to another, and (e) searching the problem space, starting from an initial state and applying operators to move to other states until a state with an acceptable n-ary tree designed is reached" in lines 28-30, do not have functional relationship between these limitations.

Art Unit: 2162

The depended claims 34-38 are depended on claim 33; therefore, claim 33 is rejected under the same reason.

Claim 39 recited the same limitations "d and e" as claimed in claim 33; therefore, claim 39 is rejected under the same reason as to claim 33.

The depended claims 30-42 are depended in claim 39; therefore, they are rejected under the same reason.

Claim 43 recited the same limitation "e and f" as in claim 33; therefore, claim 39 is rejected under the same reason as to claim 43.

The depended claims 44-52 are depended on claim 43; therefore, claim 44-52 are rejected under the same reason.

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baoquoc N. To whose telephone number is at 571-272-4041 or via e-mail Baoquoc N. To@uspto.gov. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday: 8:00 AM – 4:30 PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Breene can be reached at 571-272-4107.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231.

Art Unit: 2162

The fax numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follow:

(571) –273-8300

[Official Communication]

**BQ** To

March 8th, 2006

TAMARY EXAMINER